Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/557,820 | HAN ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| TONY TRAN | 2818 | |

| | TONY TRAN | 2818 | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>17 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | on. LED WITHIN TWO | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | |
| | but prior to the data of filing a brief | will not be entered be | 001100 | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); | ΓE below); | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti | er form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying tl | ne issues for | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | orresponding number of finally reje | oted ciaims. | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (l | PTOL-324). | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other: | | | | |
| | /Steven Loke/ SPE, AU 2818 | | | |
| | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant responded: Pederson's LED light source 306 is not exposed to an outside of the main body MB (See Pederson's FIG. 12 reprinted on page 3 of the Office Action). The LED light source 306 is positioned to the top opening of reflector (or cullminator) 370 so that the LED light source 306 is encircled by the reflector 370. However, the reflector 370 does not have an opening on the bottom side (main body MB on Fig. 13 of the Office Action) opposite to the top opening. Examiner respectfully disagrees because Pederson does teach the bottom portion of LED 306 is exposed to the outside [LEDB] of the bottom surface of the main body through the opening [OMB] of the main body [MB] (please see the FIG. 12 of the attached document).